IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

)	
JOHN KNIGHT, DONNA RUSSELL)	
KNIGHT, CHARLES COLE, VIIU)	
NIILER, COUNTRY GLASS SHOP,)	
AUNT SADIE'S, INC., AND)	
SUSAN BOERMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 04 12698 JLT
)	
V.)	
)	
CHRISTMAS TREE SHOPS, INC.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

CHRISTMAS TREE SHOPS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER

In its Order of August 2, 2005 ("August 2 Order"), this Court dismissed Plaintiffs' Third Cause of Action (Copyright Infringement) for failure to allege preregistration pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411(a). Now, nearly four months later, and only two days before the close of discovery, Plaintiff Susan Boerman ("Boerman") has filed a Motion to Reconsider Part of Order of August 2, 2005 ("Motion to Reconsider"), asking the Court to undo its decision. While Boerman's arguments focus exclusively on an analysis of the "application approach" to standing in copyright cases, that question is completely irrelevant to the claim at issue here. ¹ On grounds that *are* dispositive, however, defendant Christmas Tree Shops, Inc. ("CTS") respectfully submits that Boerman's Motion to Reconsider should be denied as belated, futile, and unduly prejudicial.

_

According to Plaintiffs' own documents, the copyright application was received by the Copyright Office on July 6, 2005, *the same day that the registration was granted*. See Exhibit 1 to Plaintiffs' Errata (attached hereto as Exhibit 1). Thus, the entire argument presented by Plaintiffs on reconsideration is irrelevant -- since application and registration apparently occurred on the same date, it matters not whether this Court adopts an "application" or "registration" approach.

Belatedness: Plaintiffs already were granted an opportunity to amend their complaint to add allegations necessary to support a claim of copyright infringement, and Plaintiffs missed that deadline. On June 30, 2005, this Court held a Scheduling Conference to determine the scope and timing of discovery, and to allow oral argument on CTS' Motion to Dismiss the Third and Fourth Causes of Action of Plaintiffs' Complaint. On that same day, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint and an accompanying Proposed Amended Complaint, which proffered a new Third Cause of Action for copyright infringement. At the Scheduling Conference, counsel for CTS stated that the newly-added claim for copyright infringement should be dismissed for failure to allege registration or preregistration pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411. In response, counsel for Plaintiffs assured the Court that the copyright was registered, and the Court accordingly granted leave for Plaintiffs to submit a second amended complaint on or before July 7, 2005. See Order of June 30, 2005 at ¶ 5; Order of August 2, 2005 at ¶ 4.

Case 1:04-cv-12698-JLT

Plaintiffs failed to file a second amended complaint by the Court-mandated deadline and never sough to file a second amended complaint to establish the validity of their copyright infringement claim. Instead, Plaintiffs attempted to amend their Complaint by an "Errata" apparently submitted to the Clerk (without cover letter) on or after August 1, 2005. Having missed the Court-imposed deadline for filing of a properly proffered amendment, Boerman now asks on reconsideration that the Court permit her to renew her claim of copyright infringement because her copyright was registered on July 6, 2005 (contrary to Plaintiffs' counsel's representation at the June 30

_

In its <u>Answer to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint</u>, CTS objected to the "Errata" as an improper attempt to effect substantive changes to a pleading without leave of Court.

Case 1:04-cv-12698-JLT Docume

hearing that registration had already been effected). That request adds nothing of dispositive substance and thus should be denied.

Futility: Boerman's Motion to Reconsider should also be denied because the amendment it purports to offer would be futile. See Plaintiffs' Proposed Amended Complaint at ¶¶ 31-33. The evidence adduced by Plaintiffs to date has established that CTS was, at most, an innocent infringer which had no reason to believe its purchases constituted an infringement of copyright, and had already stopped all sales of the allegedly infringing products prior to being notified by Plaintiffs that the products may have been copyrighted. At deposition, the CTS buyer who purchased the allegedly infringing products made clear that CTS had no input into the design of the products, but simply had purchased ready-made items from a manufacturer's factory showroom shelves:

We were in the factory and we were walking around. And I picked the fall design and Christmas design off the shelf. I picked this item off the shelf. ...when I went into the factory, I picked this off the shelf. It was a ready made product.

<u>See</u> Transcript of November 8, 2005 Deposition of D. Watts at p.11, ll.1-4; p.17, ll.21-23 (excerpts attached as Exhibit A to the <u>Affidavit of Carrie Kei Heim</u> (filed herewith)). Plaintiffs have discovered nothing to suggest that CTS was aware of the existence of Boerman's book, or that CTS had reason to believe that the products it purchased could even be accused of infringing, until service of Plaintiffs' Proposed Amended Complaint on June 30, 2005. As a result, even if amendment were allowed, damages would be limited to actual damages *to the copyright holder of the book*. But according to the title page of the book, its copyright holder is Gretchen Cagle Publications, Inc., *not* Boerman,

-

As produced CTS sales records have established, CTS stopped selling the two items at issue many months before this date. See Affidavit of Carrie Kei Heim at Exhibit B (showing sale period for both items ending no later than 2004).

and as such it appears that Boerman has no rights to bring a copyright suit. See Affidavit of Carrie Kei Heim at Exhibit C^{4/}).

Prejudice: Finally, revising this Court's August 2, 2005 Order at this late juncture would be unduly prejudicial to CTS, and would waste judicial resources. This Court made clear in its Order of June 30, 2005 that "[t]he Parties shall complete discovery by November 30, 2005" and that "[n]o additional discovery will be permitted without leave of this court." Order of June 30, 2005 at ¶¶ 3-4. CTS was served with Boerman's Motion to Reconsider on November 28, 2005, only two days before the end of the discovery period. Reopening discovery now on Boerman's purported amended claim would be unduly prejudicial, as CTS has completed its discovery based on the claims which were remaining in the case and is poised to move for summary judgment on all of those claims. Allowing Boerman to resurrect her copyright claim would require discovery to be reopened and delay prompt disposition of this entire case.

For all these reasons, Boerman's Motion to Reconsider is untimely, futile, and would result in undue prejudice to CTS. Furthermore, Boerman has failed to raise any argument of merit in its supporting memorandum, arguing instead a factually irrelevant distinction between application and registration. Accordingly, Boerman's Motion to Reconsider should be denied.

Even if Boerman were able to show innocent infringement, the Court may (and here should) reduce damages to \$200 for each of the two allegedly infringing items, for a total of \$400. See 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2); D.C. Comics Inc. v. Mini Gift Shop, 912 F.2d 29, 36 (2d Cir. 1990). To reopen discovery and delay the schedule for disposition of this case simply on account of a belated claim that, at best, would result in a penalty of \$400 would be imprudent and unduly prejudicial.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTMAS TREE SHOPS, INC.

By its attorneys

/s/ Carrie Kei Heim

Peter A. Biagetti, Esq. Carrie Kei Heim, Esq. Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, PC One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111 Tel. 617-542-6000 Fax 617-542-2241

Dated: December 6, 2005

LIT 1552549v.3

Certificate of Registration PLANNER OF LX 1



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Marybeth Peters

For a Nondramatic Literary Work UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE



REGISTRATION NUMBER TX 6-162-494

EFFECTIVE DATE OF REGISTRATION

JULY 6, 2005

•	Register of Copyrights, United States of America	Month /	Day	Year
	ARATE (CONTINUATION	SHEET.	
_1	TITLE OF THIS WORK \			
7	IT'S GRENT TO CREATE			
•	PREVIOUS OR ALTERNATIVE TITLES ▼		*************************************	
	PUBLICATION AS A CONTRIBUTION If this work was published as a contribution to a period collective work in which the contribution appeared Title of Collective Work ▼	dical, senal, or colle	chon, give informa	tion about the
	If published in a periodical or serial give Volume ▼ Number ▼	Issue Date ▼	On Pa	ges ¥
2 a	NAME OF AUTHOR V SUSAN R. BOERMAN	DATES OF BIR Year Born ▼	TH AND DEAT Year Died	
	Was this contribution to the work a "work made for hire"? □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ Domiciled in ▶ UNITEO STATES □ Domiciled in ▶	THE WORK Anonymous? Pseudonymous?	THOR'S CONT	RIBUTION TO if the snewer to either of these questions as "Yes," see detailed unstructions
NOTE	NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Briefly describe nature of material created by this author in which of TEXT AND ILLUSTRATIONS	opyright is claimed	▼	
Under the law, the "author" of a "work made for hire" is	NAME OF AUTHOR ▼	DATES OF BIR Year Born ▼	TH AND DEAT Year Died	
generally the employer, not the employee (see instructions). For any part of this work that was	Was this contribution to the work a "work made for hire"? □ Yes □ No AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICILE Name of Country OH Citizen of > Domiciled in	THE WORK Anonymous? Pseudonymous?	THOR'S CONT	RIBUTION TO If the answer to either of these questions is "Yes," see detailed instructions
"made for hire" check "Yes" in the space	NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Briefly describe nature of material created by this author in which of	opyngh) is clauned	: ▼	
provided, give the employer (or other person for	NAME OF AUTHOR ▼	DATES OF BIR Year Born ▼	TH AND DEAT Year Died	
whom the work was prepared) as "Author" of that part, and leave the space for dates	Was this contribution to the work a "work made for hire"? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICILE have of Country OR ☐ Citizen of Domiciled in D	THE WORK Anonymous? Pseudonymous?	THOR'S CONT	RIBUTION TO If the arrawer to either of these questions is "Yes," see detailed instructions
of birth and death blank	NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Briefly describe nature of material created by this author in which of	opyright is claimed	▼	
3 a	YEAR IN WHICH CREATION OF THIS WORK WAS COMPLETED This information must be given in eff cases. DATE AND NATION OF FIRST PUBL Complete this information ONLY if this work has been published.		HIS PARTICUL	AR WORK 2 002
See instructions before completing this space	COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address must be given even if the claimant is the same as the author given in space 2 SUSAU K. BOFRMAN 135 FOREST ST. BRIDGEWATER MA 02324 TRANSFER If the claimant(s) named here in space 4 is (are) different from the author(s) named in	ONE DEPO	ON RECEIVED 6 7.0 5 SIT RECEIVED SITS RECEIVED	
	space 2, give a brief statement of how the claimant(s) obtained ownership of the copyright. ▼	FUNDS RE	SEIVED 3	·····

		EXAMINED BY	TRP	PO	RM TX
		CHECKED BY	<u> </u>	_	
		CORRESPON	DENCE	_	FOR
		Yes	DENOC		YRIGHT
					USE
	DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE, IF YOU NEED MORE SPACE, U	ICE A CEDADATE CON			
	REGISTRATION Has regulation for thus work, or for an earlier version of this				
☐ Yes IB No	If your answer is "Yes," why is another registration being sought? (Check appro-	priate box.) ▼	aran copyright dance.		5
	first published edition of a work previously registered in unpublished form. first application submitted by this author as copyright claimant				J
_	hanged version of the work, as shown by space 6 on this application.				
If your enswer	us "Yes," give Previous Registration Number ▶	Year of Registration	n≯		
	E WORK OR COMPILATION				
,	sterial. Identify any precisiting work or works that this work is based on or incorp	porates ¥		a	6
N/A	•			_	U
Material Adde	d to This Work Cave a brief, general statement of the material that has been adde	ed to this work and in which	copyright is claimed. ¥	moted	nenicions completing recs.
			1,7-0	b	
DEPOSIT A	CCOUNT If the registration fee is to be charged to a Deposit Account establish	ed in the Copyright Office,	ave name and number of Account		
Name ▼	Account Nu	umber V		a	7
				~~~	
	NDENCE Give name and address to which correspondence about this applicat	tion should be sent Name	/Address/Apt/City/State/ZIP▼	_b	
-7 OC	EL D. JOSEPH 72 WISCOUSIN AVE#300			D	
( u. K. e	THE AND THE HOUSE				
Area code and da	nytime telephone number 6 (301) 941-1989	Fax reamber & Ch	Idal and House		
Email ► JOS	TITES OA MD 20814  NATION INDICATES & COMCAST. MET	(d	40) 209-4000		
	TION* I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the				
	Check only one	nght claurant			Q
of the work ide	□ owner of ex	cclusive right(s)			O
	polication are correct to the best of my knowledge	agent of AUTHOR. Name of author or other copyrig	nt claiment, or owner of exclusive right(s) #	<u> </u>	
Typed or prin	ted name and date ♥ If this application gives a date of publication in space 3, do	not sign and submit it before	e that date	_	
	TOEL D. JOSEPH		7-6-05		
	Handwritten signature (X)			<del>-</del>	
V	acel are				
Certificate will be	Herne TOEL D. JUSEPPLY		Complete sil necessary spaces     Bign your application in space 8		0
mailed in			SCORP ALCO ELEMENTO DE INCOME DAME DAL CALLE		J
window envelope	7272 WISCONSIN AW #300		Application form     Nonetigrable Sing lee in check     payable to Request of Copyright     Depost material	car money or	in As of July 1.
to this address:	Cey/State/ZIP ▼		MAR IC		July 1, 1969, the Aling
	BETHESOA, MO 20814		Copyright Office 101 Independence Avenue, S.E.		fee for Ferm TX
			Washington, D.C. 20559-6000		in \$30.